



Fig. 8.1. The phase portraits of  $Q_\lambda^2$ .

point and a saddle. Both fixed points undergo period-doubling bifurcations at  $\lambda = 2$ , so that there are two orbits of period 2 for  $\lambda > 2$ . As  $\lambda$  passes through 2, the attracting fixed point becomes a saddle, while the saddle becomes a repellor. See Fig. 8.1.

This situation is typical. When  $\lambda = 1$ , a saddle node bifurcation occurs at the fixed point 0. At this  $\lambda$ -value,  $DQ_\lambda(0)$  has an eigenvalue 1 and another eigenvalue less than one in absolute value. When  $\lambda = 2$ , there are two fixed points for  $Q_\lambda$ , both of which have one eigenvalue  $-1$  and another eigenvalue not equal to one in absolute value.

In higher dimensional systems, there is an additional manner in which a fixed or periodic point may fail to be hyperbolic. When the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are complex but of absolute value one, the fixed point is non-hyperbolic. As long as these eigenvalues are not  $\pm 1$ , a different type of bifurcation generally occurs.

Analysis of the dynamics of linear maps shows that a bifurcation must occur when an eigenvalue crosses the unit circle. Consider the family of maps

$$L_\lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is the parameter. If  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\lambda < 1$ , then 0 is an attracting

93

Two 1D maps!

$\lambda = 1$  :  $x$ -coordinate saddle-node bifurcation  
 (from no fixed points to two fixed points as  $\lambda \downarrow$ )

$\lambda = 2$  :  $y$ -coordinate period doubling

$0 < \lambda < 1$  no fixed points, no periodic points

$\lambda = 1$   $(0, 0)$  non-hyperbolic fixed point

$1 < \lambda < 2$   $(x_-, 0)$  attr. fixed point  $x_- = x_-^\lambda$   
 $(x_+, 0)$  saddle  $x_+ = x_+^\lambda$

$\lambda = 2$   $(x_-, 0)$  non-hyperbolic

$(x_+, 0)$  — n —

$\lambda > 2$   $(x_-, y_-)$  attr. 2-periodic point

$(x_+, y_+)$  rep. 2-periodic point

Ex. 8.2.  $F_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$F_\lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\left(1 + \beta(x^2 + y^2)\right)}_{g_\lambda(x, y)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$DF_\lambda(\bar{o}) = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

## 94 Polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$

$$\|F_\lambda(x,y)\| = |\lambda + \beta r^2| \cdot r$$

$$\arg(F_\lambda(x,y)) = \theta + \alpha$$

$$r_1 = \lambda r + \beta r^3$$

$$\theta_1 = \theta + \alpha$$

For  $\lambda < 1$   $0$  is attracting

$\lambda > 1$   $0$  is repelling

$\lambda = 1$   $0$  is non-hyperbolic

(regardless whether we regard  $F_\lambda$  or the corresp. map in polar coordinates)

Take  $\beta < 0$ . Then for  $\lambda > 1$  we have an invariant circle at  $r = \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{\beta}}$ .

Consider one-dim. map

$$r_1 = \lambda r + \beta r^3 \quad \lambda > 1, \beta < 0$$

Fixed points :  $0$  repelling  
 $\sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{\beta}}$  attracting

$$\text{Multiplic. : } \lambda + 3\beta r^2 \Big|_{r=\sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{\beta}}} = \lambda + 3(1-\lambda) \\ = 3 - 2\lambda.$$

# 95 Normal forms

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \alpha x - \beta y + O(2) \\y_1 &= \beta x + \alpha y + O(2)\end{aligned}$$

$$O(2) = O(x^2 + y^2)$$

Coordinate change :  $z = x + iy$   
 $\bar{z} = x - iy$

(inverse:  $x = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$   
 $y = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \bar{z})$ )

linear term is of the form  $\alpha + i\beta$

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= x_1 + iy_1 = \alpha x - \beta y + O(2) \\&\quad + i\beta x + i\alpha y + O(2) \\&= (\alpha + i\beta)x + (\alpha + i\beta)iy + O(2) \\&= (\alpha + i\beta)z + O(2)\end{aligned}$$

Set  $\mu = \alpha + i\beta$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= \mu z + O(2) \\-\bar{z}_1 &= \bar{\mu} \bar{z} + O(2)\end{aligned}$$

$O(2)$ : terms in  $z^2, z\bar{z}, \bar{z}^2, \dots$  + higher order

Theorem 8.4. Suppose  $F_\mu(z) = \mu z + O(z)$

where  $\mu$  is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  root of unity for  $k = 1, \dots, 5$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $0$  and a diffeomorphism  $L$  on  $U$  such that the map

$$L^{-1} \circ F_\mu \circ L$$

takes the form

$$z_1 = \mu z + \beta(\mu) z^2 \bar{z} + O(z^5).$$

Prop. 8.5. Let  $F_\mu$  be

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu(z) = \mu z + \alpha_1 z^2 + \alpha_2 z \bar{z} + \alpha_3 \bar{z}^2 \\ + O(z^3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu \neq 0$  and not a root of unity of order  $k$ ,  $k=1$  or  $3$ . Then  $\exists U_i$  nbhd of  $0$ , and  $L_i: U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $L$  is a diffeomorphism and

$$L_i^{-1} \circ F_\mu \circ L_i = G_\mu$$

where

$$G_\mu(z) = \mu z + O(z^3)$$

Pf. Calculation.

96a  $L_1(z) = z + b_1 z^2 + b_2 z\bar{z} + b_3 \bar{z}^2$  sought,  
such that

$$F_\mu \circ L_1 = L_1 \circ G_\mu$$

$F_\mu \circ L_1$ :

$$\mu z + \alpha_1 z^2 + \alpha_2 z\bar{z} + \alpha_3 \bar{z}^2 + \Theta(3) \quad \Big|_{z = L_1(z)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu z + \mu b_1 z^2 + \mu b_2 z\bar{z} + \mu b_3 \bar{z}^2 + \alpha_1 (z + b_1 z^2 + \dots)^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 (z + b_1 z^2 + \dots)(\bar{z} + \bar{b}_1 \bar{z}^2 + \dots) + \\ &\quad + \alpha_3 (\bar{z} + \bar{b}_1 \bar{z}^2 + \dots)^2 + \Theta(3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu z + \mu b_1^2 z^2 + \alpha_1 z^2 + \mu b_2 z\bar{z} + \alpha_2 z\bar{z} + \\ &\quad + \mu b_3 \bar{z}^2 + \alpha_3 \bar{z}^2 + \Theta(3) \end{aligned}$$

$L_1 \circ G_\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &G_\mu(z) + b_1 G_\mu(z)^2 + b_2 G_\mu(z) \overline{G_\mu(z)} + b_3 (\overline{G_\mu(z)})^2 \\ &= \mu z + \Theta(3) + b_1 \mu^2 z^2 + \Theta(4) + b_2 \mu \bar{\mu} z\bar{z} + \Theta(4) \\ &\quad + b_3 \bar{\mu}^2 \bar{z}^2 + \Theta(4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Put: } \mu b_1 + \alpha_1 = b_1 \mu^2 \Rightarrow b_1 = \frac{-\alpha_1}{\mu^2 - \mu}$$

$$\mu \bar{\mu} b_2 = \mu b_2 + \alpha_2 \Rightarrow b_2 = \frac{-\alpha_2}{\mu(1-\bar{\mu})}$$

$$\bar{\mu}^2 b_3 = \mu b_3 + \alpha_3 \Rightarrow b_3 = \frac{+\alpha_3}{\bar{\mu}^2 - \mu}$$

97 Prop. 8.6 Let  $G_\mu$  be the map

$$z_1 = \mu z + \beta_1 z^3 + \beta_2 z^2 \bar{z} + \beta_3 z \bar{z}^2 + \beta_4 \bar{z}^3 + O(\gamma),$$

where  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $\mu$  is not a  $k$ <sup>th</sup> root of unity for  $k=2$  or  $4$ . Then  $\exists U_2$ , nbhd of  $0$ , and a diffeomorphism  $L_2 : U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$L_2^{-1} \circ G_\mu \circ L_2$$

assumes the form  $H_\mu$ :

$$z_1 = \mu z + \beta_2 z^2 \bar{z} + O(\gamma)$$

Pf  $L_2$  is

$$L_2(z) = z + b_1 z + b_3 z^2 + b_4 z^3$$

where

$$b_1 = \frac{-\beta_1}{\mu(1-\mu^2)}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{-\beta_3}{\mu(1-\bar{\mu}^2)}$$

$$b_4 = \frac{-\beta_4}{\mu - \bar{\mu}^3}$$

98 Prop. 8.7. Let  $H_\mu$  be a map of the form

$$H_\mu(z) = \mu z + \beta_2 z^2 \bar{z} + O(4).$$

Then  $\exists V_3$ , nbhd of 0, and a diffeomorphism  $L_3 : V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$L_3^{-1} \circ H_\mu \circ L_3$  is of the form

$$\mu z + \beta_2 z^2 \bar{z} + O(5)$$

provided  $\mu$  is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  root of unity for  $k = 3$  or 5.

Rem. If  $\mu^5 = 1$  then the normal form

$$\mu z + \beta |z|^2 z + \gamma \bar{z}^4 + O(5)$$

may be obtained.

Prop. 8.5, 8.6, 8.7 combine to a proof of Then 8.4.

In polar coordinates we get

$$r_1 = |\mu| r + \beta(\mu) r^3 + O(5)$$

$$\theta_1 = \Theta + \alpha(\mu) + \gamma(\mu) r^2 + O(5)$$

where  $\mu = |\mu| e^{i\alpha}$ .

Everhard Hopf (1902-1983)  
Germany, U.S.

99 Theorem 8.8. (Hopf Bifurcation Thm)

Suppose  $F_\lambda$  is a family of maps satisfying

(i)  $F_\lambda(0) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$

(ii)  $DF_\lambda(0)$  has eigenvalues  $\mu(\lambda), \bar{\mu}(\lambda)$

with  $|\mu(0)| = 1$ ,  $\mu(0)$  is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  root of unity,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$  or  $5$ .

(iii)  $\frac{d}{d\lambda} |\mu(\lambda)| > 0$  at  $\lambda = 0$

(iv) In the normal form given by Thm 8.4., the term  $\beta(\mu(0)) < 0$ .

Then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a closed curve  $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda$  in the form  $r = r_\lambda(\theta)$  defined for  $0 < \lambda < \varepsilon$  and invariant under  $F_\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda$  is attracting in a neighborhood of  $0$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

Note. If no  $O(5)$  term we have an attracting circle. Proof uses the fact that  $F$  is "close to" such a map.