

Well-Posed State/Signal Systems in Continuous Time

Mikael Kurula

Åbo Akademi University
Department of Mathematics
FIN-20500 Åbo, Finland

<http://web.abo.fi/~mkurula/>

Olof J. Staffans

Åbo Akademi University
Department of Mathematics
FIN-20500 Åbo, Finland

<http://web.abo.fi/~staffans/>

Abstract— We introduce the notion of a well-posed linear state/signal system in continuous time, thereby complementing the corresponding discrete time theory developed by Damir Arov and the second author. A linear state/signal system has a state space \mathcal{X} and a signal space \mathcal{W} , where the state space acts like an internal memory, and the signal space allows interactions with the surrounding world. A state/signal system resembles an input/state/output system apart from the fact that inputs and outputs are not separated from each other. This system is well-posed if it is possible to decompose the signal space \mathcal{W} into a direct sum of an input space \mathcal{U} and an output space \mathcal{Y} so that this decomposition results in a well-posed input/state/output system. Such a decomposition of \mathcal{W} is called an *admissible input/output pair*. We give different characterizations of well-posedness and input/output admissibility, in terms of either classic or generalized trajectories of the system. Finally we mention some work in progress.

A *classical trajectory* on a time interval $[0, T]$ of a linear continuous time-invariant s/s (= state/signal) node $\Sigma = (V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ with a Banach state space \mathcal{X} and a Banach signal space \mathcal{W} consists of a continuously differentiable state component $x(t) \in \mathcal{X}$ and a continuous signal component $w(t) \in \mathcal{W}$. The evolution of these trajectories is determined by a condition of the type

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ x(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} \in V, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1)$$

where x_0 is a given initial state at time zero and V is the so called *generating subspace* of Σ . We throughout require V to satisfy (at least) the following three conditions:

- (i) V is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$;
- (ii) If $\begin{bmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in V$ then $z = 0$;
- (iii) There is a $T > 0$ such that for each $\begin{bmatrix} z_0 \\ x_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in V$ there exists at least one classical trajectory $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ of Σ on $[0, T]$ with $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(0) \\ x(0) \\ w(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 \\ x_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Classical trajectories on the time interval $[0, \infty)$ are defined in the same way.

For the rest of this note we fix some $p \in [1, \infty)$. By a *generalized trajectory* of Σ on the time interval $[0, T]$ we mean a pair of functions $x \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{X})$ and $w \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{W})$ which can be approximated by a sequence

This work was supported by grant number 201016 from the Academy of Finland.

of classical trajectories $\begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}$ in the sense that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $C([0, T]; \mathcal{X})$ and $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{W})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By a generalized trajectory of Σ on the time interval $[0, \infty)$ we mean a pair of functions $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ whose restriction to any finite interval $[0, T]$ is a generalized trajectory on $[0, T]$. We denote the set of classical trajectories of Σ on $[0, T]$ and $[0, \infty)$ by $\mathfrak{V}[0, T]$ and \mathfrak{V} , respectively, and the set of generalized trajectories of Σ on $[0, T]$ and $[0, \infty)$ by $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ and \mathfrak{W} , respectively. Finally, $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T] = \{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{W}[0, T] \mid \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ w(0) \end{bmatrix} = 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T] = \{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{W}[0, T] \mid x(0) = 0\}$.

In Definition 1 below we introduce the notion of a *well-posed s/s node*. We begin by decomposing the signal space \mathcal{W} of Σ into a direct sum $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the projection in \mathcal{W} onto \mathcal{U} along \mathcal{Y} . For each $w \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{W})$ we define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{Y}}w$ point-wise, i.e., $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{Y}}w)(t) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{Y}}w(t)$ almost everywhere.

Definition 1: The s/s node $\Sigma = (V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is *well-posed* if there exists a $T > 0$ and a direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$ of \mathcal{W} such that the following three conditions hold:

- (iv) The set $\{x(0) \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{V}[0, T]\}$ is dense in \mathcal{X} ;
- (v) The set $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{Y}}w \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{W}_0[0, T]\}$ is dense in $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$;
- (vi) there exists a finite constant K such that all $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{V}([0, T])$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|w\|_{L^p([0, t]; \mathcal{W})} \\ \leq K (\|x(0)\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{Y}}w\|_{L^p([0, t]; \mathcal{U})}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

A decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$ of \mathcal{W} satisfying conditions (v) and (vi) above for some $T > 0$ is called an *admissible i/o (input/output) pair* for Σ .

In general a well-posed s/s node has more than one admissible i/o pair. The following result can be used to test when a given decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$ is admissible for Σ .

Theorem 2: Let $\Sigma = (V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ be a well-posed s/s node, and let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$ be a direct sum decomposition of \mathcal{W} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ is an admissible i/o pair for Σ , i.e., conditions (v) and (vi) in Definition 1 hold for some $T > 0$;

- (ii) Conditions (v) and (vi) in Definition 1 hold for all $T > 0$;
- (iii) The map $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_U^{\mathcal{Y}} w$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T]$ to $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$ for some $T > 0$;
- (iv) The map $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_U^{\mathcal{Y}} w$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T]$ to $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$ for all $T > 0$.

It can be shown that if $\Sigma = (V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is well-posed, then $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T]$ is dense in $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ for all $T > 0$. A slightly weaker version of this condition is used in our following result, which characterizes well-posedness and admissibility in terms of generalized trajectories (as opposed to the set $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ of classical trajectories used in Definition 1).

Theorem 3: Let $\Sigma = (V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ be a s/s node, i.e., suppose that V satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) listed below (1). In addition suppose that $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T]$ is dense in $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ for some $T > 0$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$ be a direct sum decomposition of \mathcal{W} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) Σ is well-posed and $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ is an admissible i/o pair for Σ ;
- (ii) there exists some $T > 0$ such that the map $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow (x(0), \mathcal{P}_U^{\mathcal{Y}} w)$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ to $\mathcal{X} \times L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$.
- (iii) there exists some $T > 0$ such that
 - (a) for each $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists at least one $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ such that $x(0) = x_0$;
 - (b) the map $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_U^{\mathcal{Y}} w$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{W}_0[0, T]$ to $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$.

Clearly the generating subspace V determines both the set of classical trajectories $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ and the set of generalized trajectories $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ of Σ on $[0, T]$ uniquely. A partial converse is true: $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ determines V uniquely since it can be shown that $V = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(0) \\ x(0) \\ w(0) \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{W}[0, T] \right\}$.

Apparently it need not be true that V is uniquely determined by the set of generalized trajectories $\mathfrak{W}[0, T]$ or \mathfrak{W} on $[0, T]$ or $[0, \infty)$. However, in many cases these families of generalized trajectories are more important than the corresponding families of classical trajectories. We therefore introduce the notion of a well-posed state signal system $\Sigma = (\mathfrak{W}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$, by which we mean the family of generalized trajectories \mathfrak{W} on $[0, \infty)$ of some well-posed s/s node with state space \mathcal{X} and signal space \mathcal{W} . Thus, a well-posed linear system $\Sigma = (\mathfrak{W}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ may be generated by more than one well-posed s/s node $(V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$. We prove that among these nodes there always exists a unique maximal one $(V'; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$. Here maximality means that if both $(V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(V'; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ generate the same system $(\mathfrak{W}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$, then necessarily $V \subset V'$. We show that $(V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is maximal if and only if it is true that every generalized trajectory $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ which has the smoothness of a classical trajectory is actually classical.

We next describe the relationship between well-posed s/s systems and the class of well-posed i/s/o (input/state/output) systems found in, e.g., [Sta05]. Let $\Sigma =$

$(V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ be a well-posed s/s node, and let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ be an admissible i/o pair for Σ . Then the admissibility of the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \dot{+} \mathcal{Y}$ implies that for each $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and each $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^p([0, \infty); \mathcal{U})$ there is a unique generalized trajectory $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ of Σ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $x(0) = x_0$ and $\mathcal{P}_U^{\mathcal{Y}} w = u$. Moreover, the trajectory $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ depends continuously on x_0 and u , and the map $(x_0, u) \rightarrow (x, \mathcal{P}_U^{\mathcal{Y}} w)$ defines a well-posed i/s/o system $\Sigma_{i/s/o}$ in the sense of [Sta05], with \mathcal{U} as input space and \mathcal{Y} as output space. We call this $\Sigma_{i/s/o}$ the *i/s/o representation* of Σ corresponding to the i/o pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$. The converse is also true: To each well-posed i/s/o system $\Sigma_{i/s/o}$ with a Banach input space \mathcal{U} and a Banach output space \mathcal{Y} there corresponds a unique well-posed s/s node $\Sigma = (V; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Y})$ such that V is maximal and such that $\Sigma_{i/s/o}$ is the i/s/o representation of Σ corresponding to the i/o pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$. The maximal generating subspace V can be interpreted as the graph of the system node which generates $\Sigma_{i/s/o}$. (We refer the reader to [Sta05] for the definition of a system node.)

Above we have introduced the notion of an i/s/o representation of a well-posed s/s system. Two additional types of useful representations exist, namely *driving-variable representations* and *output-nulling representations*. A driving-variable representation is a well-posed i/s/o system in which an additional driving input variable is used to generate all the generalized trajectories of Σ (i.e., we get a trajectory of Σ by simply dropping the driving variable). An output-nulling representation is another well-posed i/s/o system which produces generalized trajectories of Σ whenever an additional output error variable vanishes. These representations can be used in the same way as the corresponding discrete time driving-variable and output-nulling representations were used in [AS05]–[AS07c].

Work in progress includes the study of interconnections of well-posed s/s systems and the theory of continuous time passive well-posed s/s systems in the spirit of [AS07a]–[AS07c].

REFERENCES

- [AS05] D Amir Z. Arov and Olof J. Staffans, *State/signal linear time-invariant systems theory. Part I: Discrete time systems*, The State Space Method, Generalizations and Applications (Basel Boston Berlin), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 161, Birkhäuser-Verlag, 2005, pp. 115–177.
- [AS07a] ———, *State/signal linear time-invariant systems theory. Passive discrete time systems*, Internat. J. Robust Nonlinear Control **17** (2007), 497–548.
- [AS07b] ———, *State/signal linear time-invariant systems theory. Part III: Transmission and impedance representations of discrete time systems*, Operator Theory, Structured Matrices, and Dilations, Tiberiu Constantinescu Memorial Volume (Bucharest Romania), Theta Foundation, 2007, Available from American Mathematical Society, pp. 101–140.
- [AS07c] ———, *State/signal linear time-invariant systems theory. Part IV: Affine representations of discrete time systems*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **1** (2007), 457–521.
- [Sta05] Olof J. Staffans, *Well-posed linear systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 2005.
- [Sta06] ———, *Passive linear discrete time-invariant systems*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Madrid, 2006, 2006, pp. 1367–1388.