

How to Complete a Maximal Nonnegative Subspace of a Kreĭn Space?

Damir Z. Arov

Division of Mathematical Analysis
Institute of Physics and Mathematics
South-Ukrainian Pedagogical University

Olof Staffans

Department of Mathematics
Åbo Akademi University
<http://web.abo.fi/~staffans>

Outline

PART I: Completion of a Maximal Nonnegative Subspace

- Maximal Nonnegative subspaces of Kreĭn spaces
- The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$

PART II: Correspondence to the de Branges Complementary Space

PART III: Passive State/Signal Systems

- The co-isometric de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o model
- The co-isometric state/signal model

Kreĭn Spaces

A Kreĭn space \mathfrak{K} is a vector space with a complete indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{K}}$.

Kreĭn Spaces

A Kreĭn space \mathfrak{K} is a vector space with a complete indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{K}}$.

More precisely, there exist a Hilbert space inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{K}}$ in \mathfrak{K} and an operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$, $J = J^* = J^{-1}$ (i.e., J is both self-adjoint and unitary), such that

$$[k_1, k_2]_{\mathfrak{K}} = (k_1, Jk_2)_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad k_1, k_2 \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

(The inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{K}}$ and the operator J are not unique.)

Kreĭn Spaces

A Kreĭn space \mathfrak{K} is a vector space with a complete indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{K}}$.

More precisely, there exist a Hilbert space inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{K}}$ in \mathfrak{K} and an operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{K})$, $J = J^* = J^{-1}$ (i.e., J is both self-adjoint and unitary), such that

$$[k_1, k_2]_{\mathfrak{K}} = (k_1, Jk_2)_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad k_1, k_2 \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

(The inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{K}}$ and the operator J are not unique.)

The orthogonal companion \mathcal{Z}^{\perp} to a subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{K}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\perp} = \{k \in \mathfrak{K} \mid [k, z]_{\mathfrak{K}} = 0 \ \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

Nonnegative, Nonpositive, Neutral Subspaces

Nonnegative, Nonpositive, Neutral Subspaces

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **nonnegative** [or **nonpositive**] if

$$[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} \geq 0 \text{ [or } \leq 0] \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Nonnegative, Nonpositive, Neutral Subspaces

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **nonnegative** [or **nonpositive**] if

$$[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} \geq 0 \text{ [or } \leq 0] \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

\mathcal{Z} is **maximal nonnegative** [or **maximal nonpositive**] if it is not a proper subspace of any other nonnegative [or nonpositive] subspace of \mathcal{K} .

Nonnegative, Nonpositive, Neutral Subspaces

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **nonnegative** [or **nonpositive**] if

$$[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} \geq 0 \text{ [or } \leq 0] \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

\mathcal{Z} is **maximal nonnegative** [or **maximal nonpositive**] if it is not a proper subspace of any other nonnegative [or nonpositive] subspace of \mathcal{K} .

Fact: \mathcal{Z} is maximal nonnegative $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$ is maximal nonpositive.

Nonnegative, Nonpositive, Neutral Subspaces

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **nonnegative** [or **nonpositive**] if

$$[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} \geq 0 \text{ [or } \leq 0] \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

\mathcal{Z} is **maximal nonnegative** [or **maximal nonpositive**] if it is not a proper subspace of any other nonnegative [or nonpositive] subspace of \mathcal{K} .

Fact: \mathcal{Z} is **maximal nonnegative** $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$ is **maximal nonpositive**.

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **neutral** if $[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ (i.e., both nonnegative and nonpositive).

Nonnegative, Nonpositive, Neutral Subspaces

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **nonnegative** [or **nonpositive**] if

$$[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} \geq 0 \text{ [or } \leq 0] \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

\mathcal{Z} is **maximal nonnegative** [or **maximal nonpositive**] if it is not a proper subspace of any other nonnegative [or nonpositive] subspace of \mathcal{K} .

Fact: \mathcal{Z} is **maximal nonnegative** $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$ is **maximal nonpositive**.

A subspace \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{K} is **neutral** if $[z, z]_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ (i.e., both nonnegative and nonpositive).

Let \mathcal{Z} be maximal nonnegative. The **maximal neutral subspace** \mathcal{Z}_0 of \mathcal{Z} is given by $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$. This is the largest neutral subspace in \mathcal{Z} , and also the largest neutral subspace in \mathcal{Z}^{\perp} .

Quotient Spaces

Quotient Spaces

Let \mathcal{Z} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{K} . By the **quotient** \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} we mean the vector space consisting of all equivalence classes

$$[k] := k + \mathcal{Z} := \{k + z \mid z \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

Quotient Spaces

Let \mathcal{Z} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{K} . By the **quotient** \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} we mean the vector space consisting of all equivalence classes

$$[k] := k + \mathcal{Z} := \{k + z \mid z \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

If \mathcal{K} is a **Hilbert space** (i.e., if $J = 1_{\mathcal{K}}$), then the quotient \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} can be **identified** in a natural way with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]} (= \mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$. In particular, there is a **canonical inner product** in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} . This is not true for a general Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} .

Quotient Spaces

Let \mathcal{Z} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{K} . By the **quotient** \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} we mean the vector space consisting of all equivalence classes

$$[k] := k + \mathcal{Z} := \{k + z \mid z \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

If \mathcal{K} is a **Hilbert space** (i.e., if $J = 1_{\mathcal{K}}$), then the quotient \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} can be **identified** in a natural way with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]} (= \mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$. In particular, there is a **canonical inner product** in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} . This is not true for a general Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} .

Special case: we take \mathcal{Z} to be either **maximal nonnegative** or **maximal nonpositive**. Such a subspace is automatically **closed** (with respect to the standard quotient topology).

Inherited Nonnegative Inner Products

Inherited Nonnegative Inner Products

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of \mathfrak{K} . Then

$$\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} := [z_1, z_2]_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z},$$

is a **semi-inner product** on \mathcal{Z} (nonnegative, possibly degenerate inner product).

Inherited Nonnegative Inner Products

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of \mathfrak{K} . Then

$$\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} := [z_1, z_2]_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z},$$

is a **semi-inner product on \mathcal{Z}** (nonnegative, possibly degenerate inner product).

We observe that

$$\langle z, z \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow z \in \mathcal{Z}_0 := \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}.$$

Inherited Nonnegative Inner Products

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of \mathfrak{K} . Then

$$\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} := [z_1, z_2]_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z},$$

is a **semi-inner product on \mathcal{Z}** (nonnegative, possibly degenerate inner product).

We observe that

$$\langle z, z \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow z \in \mathcal{Z}_0 := \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}.$$

This implies that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}}$ induces a **positive** (nondegenerate) **inner product on the quotient space $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$** . We denote this inner product by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0}$. Thus,

$$([z_1], [z_2])_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0} := \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} = [z_1, z_2]_{\mathfrak{K}},$$

where $[z_1]$ and $[z_2]$ stand for the equivalence classes $[z_i] := z_i + \mathcal{Z}_0$, $i = 1, 2$. With this inner product $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ becomes a **pre-Hilbert space** (not necessary complete).

Inherited Nonnegative Inner Products

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of \mathfrak{K} . Then

$$\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} := [z_1, z_2]_{\mathfrak{K}}, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z},$$

is a **semi-inner product** on \mathcal{Z} (nonnegative, possibly degenerate inner product).

We observe that

$$\langle z, z \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow z \in \mathcal{Z}_0 := \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}.$$

This implies that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}}$ induces a **positive** (nondegenerate) **inner product** on the **quotient space** $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$. We denote this inner product by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0}$. Thus,

$$([z_1], [z_2])_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0} := \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}} = [z_1, z_2]_{\mathfrak{K}},$$

where $[z_1]$ and $[z_2]$ stand for the equivalence classes $[z_i] := z_i + \mathcal{Z}_0$, $i = 1, 2$. With this inner product $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ becomes a **pre-Hilbert space** (not necessary complete).

What does the completion of $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ look like?

Outline

PART I: Completion of a Maximal Nonnegative Subspace

- Maximal Nonnegative subspaces of Kreĭn spaces
- The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$

PART II: Correspondence to the de Branges Complementary Space

PART III: Passive State/Signal Systems

- The co-isometric de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o model
- The co-isometric state/signal model

The Completion of $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of a Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$ be the maximal neutral subspace of \mathcal{Z} . Then

The Completion of $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of a Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$ be the maximal neutral subspace of \mathcal{Z} . Then

- (i) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]})$ of $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$, and

The Completion of $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of a Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$ be the maximal neutral subspace of \mathcal{Z} . Then

- (i) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]})$ of $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$, and
- (ii) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ with the inner product inherited from $-\mathcal{K}$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ of $-\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$.

The Completion of $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of a Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$ be the maximal neutral subspace of \mathcal{Z} . Then

- (i) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]})$ of $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$, and
- (ii) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ with the inner product inherited from $-\mathcal{K}$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ of $-\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$.

Here part (ii) follows from part (i) by interchanging $\mathcal{Z} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$ and $\mathcal{K} \leftrightarrow -\mathcal{K}$.

The Completion of $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of a Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$ be the maximal neutral subspace of \mathcal{Z} . Then

- (i) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]})$ of $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$, and
- (ii) the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ with the inner product inherited from $-\mathcal{K}$ can be identified in a natural way with a certain subspace $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ of $-\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$.

Here part (ii) follows from part (i) by interchanging $\mathcal{Z} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}$ and $\mathcal{K} \leftrightarrow -\mathcal{K}$.

The construction of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]})$ is an abstract version of the functional construction by Louis de Branges and James Rovnyak in [dBR66b].

Definition of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$

Definition of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$

$$\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}] = \{k + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z} \mid \|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]} < \infty\}, \quad (1)$$

where the (Hilbert space) norm $\|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]}$ of the equivalence class $k + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ is the square root of

$$\|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]}^2 = - \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} [k + z, k + z]_{\mathfrak{K}}. \quad (2)$$

Definition of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$

$$\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}] = \{k + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z} \mid \|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]} < \infty\}, \quad (1)$$

where the (Hilbert space) norm $\|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]}$ of the equivalence class $k + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ is the square root of

$$\|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]}^2 = - \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} [k + z, k + z]_{\mathfrak{K}}. \quad (2)$$

Compare this to the Hilbert space case: If instead \mathcal{Z} is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{X} , then the quotient norm of $x + \mathcal{Z}$ in \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Z} is the square root of

$$\|x + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Z}}^2 = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|x + z\|_{\mathfrak{K}}^2 = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} [x + z, x + z]_{\mathfrak{K}}. \quad (3)$$

Definition of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$

$$\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}] = \{k + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z} \mid \|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]} < \infty\}, \quad (1)$$

where the (Hilbert space) norm $\|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]}$ of the equivalence class $k + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ is the square root of

$$\|k + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]}^2 = - \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} [k + z, k + z]_{\mathfrak{K}}. \quad (2)$$

Compare this to the Hilbert space case: If instead \mathcal{Z} is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{X} , then the quotient norm of $x + \mathcal{Z}$ in \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Z} is the square root of

$$\|x + \mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Z}}^2 = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|x + z\|_{\mathfrak{K}}^2 = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} [x + z, x + z]_{\mathfrak{K}}. \quad (3)$$

Thus, the norm in $\mathcal{X}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is simply the ‘Kreĭn space version’ of the quotient norm in \mathfrak{K}/\mathcal{Z} when \mathcal{Z} is maximal nonnegative!

Summary

If \mathcal{Z} is a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , then

Summary

If \mathcal{Z} is a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , then

- $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ consists of those vectors $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ whose norm is finite. The norm in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the Kreĭn space analogue of the quotient norm in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} .

Summary

If \mathcal{Z} is a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , then

- $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ consists of those vectors $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ whose norm is finite. The norm in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the Kreĭn space analogue of the quotient norm in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} .
- The pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ with the norm inherited from $-\mathcal{K}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ with the same norm.

Summary

If \mathcal{Z} is a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , then

- $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ consists of those vectors $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ whose norm is finite. The norm in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the Kreĭn space analogue of the quotient norm in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} .
- The pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ with the norm inherited from $-\mathcal{K}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ with the same norm.
- **Answer to the original question:** The completion of $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ is the subspace of those vectors in the quotient \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} whose 'natural quotient norm' is finite.

Summary

If \mathcal{Z} is a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , then

- $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ consists of those vectors $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ whose norm is finite. The norm in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the Kreĭn space analogue of the quotient norm in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} .
- The pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ with the norm inherited from $-\mathcal{K}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ with the same norm.
- **Answer to the original question:** The completion of $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ is the subspace of those vectors in the quotient \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} whose ‘natural quotient norm’ is finite.
- All our proofs are direct and elementary, and require no knowledge of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces or de Branges–Rovnyak spaces.

Outline

PART I: Completion of a Maximal Nonnegative Subspace

- Maximal Nonnegative subspaces of Kreĭn spaces
- The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$

PART II: Correspondence to the de Branges Complementary Space

PART III: Passive State/Signal Systems

- The co-isometric de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o model
- The co-isometric state/signal model

The de Branges–Rovnyak Complementary Space

Let S be a contraction $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} are Hilbert spaces.

The de Branges–Rovnyak Complementary Space

Let S be a contraction $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} are Hilbert spaces.

The range space $\mathcal{M}(S)$ of S is the range of S endowed with the range norm $\|y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S)} = \inf\{\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}} \mid y = Su\}$.

The de Branges–Rovnyak Complementary Space

Let S be a contraction $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} are Hilbert spaces.

The range space $\mathcal{M}(S)$ of S is the range of S endowed with the range norm $\|y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S)} = \inf\{\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}} \mid y = Su\}$.

De Branges complementary space $\mathcal{H}(S)$ to $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(S) = \{y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \|y\|_{\mathcal{H}(S)}^2 < \infty\}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}(S)}^2 = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (\|y - Su\|^2 - \|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}^2). \quad (5)$$

The de Branges–Rovnyak Complementary Space

Let S be a contraction $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} are Hilbert spaces.

The range space $\mathcal{M}(S)$ of S is the range of S endowed with the range norm $\|y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S)} = \inf\{\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}} \mid y = Su\}$.

De Branges complementary space $\mathcal{H}(S)$ to $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(S) = \{y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \|y\|_{\mathcal{H}(S)}^2 < \infty\}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}(S)}^2 = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (\|y - Su\|^2 - \|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}^2). \quad (5)$$

Alternatively, $\mathcal{H}(S) = \mathcal{M}((1 - SS^*)^{1/2})$.

The Connection

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} [\dot{+}] \mathcal{U}$ be a fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{K} .

The Connection

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} [\dot{+}] \mathcal{U}$ be a fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{K} .

Then \mathcal{Z} has a unique graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} S u \\ u \end{smallmatrix}] \mid u \in \mathcal{U} \}$. This gives us the contraction S .

The Connection

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ be a fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{K} .

Then \mathcal{Z} has a unique graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} S u \\ u \end{smallmatrix}] \mid u \in \mathcal{U} \}$. This gives us the contraction S .

Moreover, $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{Z}$, i.e., $-\mathcal{Y}$ is a direct complement to \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{W} . This implies that to each equivalence class $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ there corresponds a unique $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $x = y + \mathcal{Z}$.

The mapping $T : x \rightarrow y$, where $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $y + \mathcal{Z} = x$, is a continuous bijection $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, and

The Connection

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ be a fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{K} .

Then \mathcal{Z} has a unique graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ \begin{bmatrix} S u \\ u \end{bmatrix} \mid u \in \mathcal{U} \}$. This gives us the contraction S .

Moreover, $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{Z}$, i.e., $-\mathcal{Y}$ is a direct complement to \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{W} . This implies that to each equivalence class $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ there corresponds a unique $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $x = y + \mathcal{Z}$.

The mapping $T : x \rightarrow y$, where $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $y + \mathcal{Z} = x$, is a continuous bijection $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, and

the restriction of T to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a unitary map of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ onto $\mathcal{H}(S)$.

The Connection

Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal nonnegative subspace of the Kreĭn space \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ be a fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{K} .

Then \mathcal{Z} has a unique graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} S & u \\ & u \end{smallmatrix}] \mid u \in \mathcal{U} \}$. This gives us the contraction S .

Moreover, $\mathcal{K} = -\mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{Z}$, i.e., $-\mathcal{Y}$ is a direct complement to \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{W} . This implies that to each equivalence class $x \in \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$ there corresponds a unique $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $x = y + \mathcal{Z}$.

The mapping $T : x \rightarrow y$, where $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $y + \mathcal{Z} = x$, is a continuous bijection $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, and

the restriction of T to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a unitary map of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ onto $\mathcal{H}(S)$.

Under this mapping the image of the dense subspace $\mathcal{Z}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is mapped onto $\mathcal{R}(1 - SS^*)$ (recall that $\mathcal{H}(S)$ is the range space of $(1 - SS^*)^{1/2}$).

Outline

PART I: Completion of a Maximal Nonnegative Subspace

- Maximal Nonnegative subspaces of Kreĭn spaces
- The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$

PART II: Correspondence to the de Branges Complementary Space

PART III: Passive State/Signal Systems

- The co-isometric de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o model
- The co-isometric state/signal model

Input/State/Output System

The i/s/o (input/state/output) system

$$\begin{aligned}x(n+1) &= Ax(n) + Bu(n) \\y(n) &= Cx(n) + Du(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \quad x(0) = x_0,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

is called a **passive realization of the Schur function** \mathfrak{D} if $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{Y} \end{bmatrix}$ is contractive and $\mathfrak{D}(z) = zC(1 - zA)^{-1}B + D, z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Input/State/Output System

The i/s/o (input/state/output) system

$$\begin{aligned}x(n+1) &= Ax(n) + Bu(n) \\y(n) &= Cx(n) + Du(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \quad x(0) = x_0,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

is called a **passive realization of the Schur function** \mathfrak{D} if $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{Y} \end{bmatrix}$ is contractive and $\mathfrak{D}(z) = zC(1 - zA)^{-1}B + D$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

If $u \in \ell_+^2(\mathcal{U})$, then the Z -transforms of x , u , and y satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\hat{x}(z) - x_0}{z} \\ \hat{y}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(z) \\ \hat{u}(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.\tag{7}$$

From this equation we can solve $\hat{x}(z)$ and $\hat{y}(z)$ in terms of x_0 and $\hat{u}(z)$ (over):

Input/State/Output System (continues)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(z) \\ \hat{y}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{A}(z) & \mathfrak{B}(z) \\ \mathfrak{C}(z) & \mathfrak{D}(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ \hat{u}(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{A}(z) & \mathfrak{B}(z) \\ \mathfrak{C}(z) & \mathfrak{D}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z(1 - zA)^{-1} & z(1 - zA)^{-1}B \\ C(1 - zA)^{-1} & zC(1 - zA)^{-1}B + D \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (9)$$

Input/State/Output System (continues)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(z) \\ \hat{y}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{A}(z) & \mathfrak{B}(z) \\ \mathfrak{C}(z) & \mathfrak{D}(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ \hat{u}(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{A}(z) & \mathfrak{B}(z) \\ \mathfrak{C}(z) & \mathfrak{D}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z(1 - zA)^{-1} & z(1 - zA)^{-1}B \\ C(1 - zA)^{-1} & zC(1 - zA)^{-1}B + D \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (9)$$

The 2×2 block operator in (9) is the **input-state/state-output transfer function**, and the bottom right corner (the input/output transfer function) is required to be equal to the given Schur function.

Co-Isometric Observable I/S/O Realization

In the co-isometric observable passive realization we interpret \mathfrak{D} as a contractive multiplication operator $S: H^2(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{Y})$, and the state space is $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}(S) \subset H^2(\mathcal{Y})$.

Co-Isometric Observable I/S/O Realization

In the co-isometric observable passive realization we interpret \mathfrak{D} as a contractive multiplication operator $S: H^2(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{Y})$, and the state space is $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}(S) \subset H^2(\mathcal{Y})$.

The coefficient matrix is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(z) \\ u_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\hat{x}(z) - \hat{x}(0)}{z} & \frac{\mathfrak{D}(z) - \mathfrak{D}(0)}{\mathfrak{D}^z(0)} u_0 \\ \hat{x}(0) & \mathfrak{D}^z(0) u_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ u_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This realization was discovered by de Branges and Rovnyak [dBR66a, dBR66b].

Outline

PART I: Completion of a Maximal Nonnegative Subspace

- Maximal Nonnegative subspaces of Kreĭn spaces
- The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}^{\perp})$

PART II: Correspondence to the de Branges Complementary Space

PART III: Passive State/Signal Systems

- The co-isometric de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o model
- The co-isometric state/signal model

State/Signal Systems

We get the corresponding s/s (state/signal) system by replacing $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ by its graph:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(n+1) \\ x(n) \\ w(n) \end{bmatrix} \in V, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (10)$$

where $w(n) = \begin{bmatrix} y(n) \\ u(n) \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$V = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Ax_0 + Bu_0 \\ x_0 \\ Cx_0 + Du_0 \\ u_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}.$$

State/Signal Systems

We get the corresponding s/s (state/signal) system by replacing $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ by its graph:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(n+1) \\ x(n) \\ w(n) \end{bmatrix} \in V, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (10)$$

where $w(n) = \begin{bmatrix} y(n) \\ u(n) \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$V = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Ax_0 + Bu_0 \\ x_0 \\ Cx_0 + Du_0 \\ u_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding graph representation of (7) is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{z}(\hat{x}(z) - x_0) \\ \hat{x}(z) \\ \hat{w}(z) \end{bmatrix} \in V, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (11)$$

State/Signal Systems

We get the corresponding s/s (state/signal) system by replacing $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ by its graph:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(n+1) \\ x(n) \\ w(n) \end{bmatrix} \in V, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (10)$$

where $w(n) = \begin{bmatrix} y(n) \\ u(n) \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$V = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Ax_0 + Bu_0 \\ x_0 \\ Cx_0 + Du_0 \\ u_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding graph representation of (7) is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{z}(\hat{x}(z) - x_0) \\ \hat{x}(z) \\ \hat{w}(z) \end{bmatrix} \in V, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (11)$$

The graph $\mathcal{Z} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{D}\hat{u} \\ \hat{u} \end{bmatrix} \mid \hat{u} \in H^2(\mathcal{U}) \right\}$ of \mathfrak{D} is equal to the set of all $\hat{w} \in H^2(\mathcal{W})$ for which there exists some \hat{x} such that (11) holds with $x_0 = 0$.

Co-Isometric Observable S/S Realization

In [AS08a, AS08b] we present a co-isometric observable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

Co-Isometric Observable S/S Realization

In [AS08a, AS08b] we present a co-isometric observable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

The underlying Kreĭn space is $\mathcal{K} = K^2(\mathcal{W})$, which is the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} with values in \mathcal{W} and with the indefinite inner product inherited from the Kreĭn space $\mathcal{W} = -\mathcal{Y} [\dot{+}] \mathcal{U}$.

Co-Isometric Observable S/S Realization

In [AS08a, AS08b] we present a co-isometric observable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

The underlying Kreĭn space is $\mathcal{K} = K^2(\mathcal{W})$, which is the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} with values in \mathcal{W} and with the indefinite inner product inherited from the Kreĭn space $\mathcal{W} = -\mathcal{Y} [\dot{+}] \mathcal{U}$.

The maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{K} is the graph of the Schur function \mathfrak{D} .

Co-Isometric Observable S/S Realization

In [AS08a, AS08b] we present a co-isometric observable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

The underlying Kreĭn space is $\mathcal{K} = K^2(\mathcal{W})$, which is the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} with values in \mathcal{W} and with the indefinite inner product inherited from the Kreĭn space $\mathcal{W} = -\mathcal{Y} [\dot{+}] \mathcal{U}$.

The maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{K} is the graph of the Schur function \mathfrak{D} .

The state space is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$.

Co-Isometric Observable S/S Realization

In [AS08a, AS08b] we present a co-isometric observable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

The underlying Kreĭn space is $\mathcal{K} = K^2(\mathcal{W})$, which is the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} with values in \mathcal{W} and with the indefinite inner product inherited from the Kreĭn space $\mathcal{W} = -\mathcal{Y} [+] \mathcal{U}$.

The maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{K} is the graph of the Schur function \mathfrak{D} .

The state space is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{Z}$.

The generating subspace has the image representation

$$V = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{\hat{w}(z) - \hat{w}(0)}{z} + \mathcal{Z} \\ \hat{w}(z) + \mathcal{Z} \\ \hat{w}(0) \end{array} \right] \mid \hat{w}(\cdot) \in K^2(\mathcal{W}), \hat{w}(\cdot) + \mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{X} \right\}.$$

Isometric and Conservative S/S Realizations

In [AS08b] we also present an isometric controllable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathcal{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the isometric controllable de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

Isometric and Conservative S/S Realizations

In [AS08b] we also present an isometric controllable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the isometric controllable de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

There also exists a simple unitary s/s realization. This one is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the simple unitary de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

Isometric and Conservative S/S Realizations

In [AS08b] we also present an isometric controllable passive s/s realization of the graph of \mathfrak{D} . It is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the isometric controllable de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

There also exists a simple unitary s/s realization. This one is unitarily similar to the s/s realization that one gets by interpreting the simple unitary de Branges–Rovnyak i/s/o realization as a s/s system.

Open problem: Do there exist s/s versions of the Sz.-Nagy–Foias and Pavlov models?

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

The above construction is based entirely on the maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$. The graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}\hat{u} \\ \hat{u} \end{bmatrix} \mid \hat{u} \in H^2(\mathcal{U}) \right\}$ of \mathcal{Z} is irrelevant.

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

The above construction is based entirely on the maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$. The graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{D}\hat{u} \\ \hat{u} \end{smallmatrix}] \mid \hat{u} \in H^2(\mathcal{U}) \}$ of \mathcal{Z} is irrelevant.

The same construction can be used to give a passive s/s realization of an arbitrary maximal nonnegative shift-invariant subspace of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$.

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

The above construction is based entirely on the maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$. The graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{D}\hat{u} \\ \hat{u} \end{smallmatrix}] \mid \hat{u} \in H^2(\mathcal{U}) \}$ of \mathcal{Z} is irrelevant.

The same construction can be used to give a passive s/s realization of an arbitrary maximal nonnegative shift-invariant subspace of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$.

Thus, instead of realizing the graph of a Schur function we can use the same method to realize the graph of a **Nevanlinna** function (or relation) or of a **Potapov** function (or relation).

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

The above construction is based entirely on the maximal nonnegative subspace \mathcal{Z} of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$. The graph representation $\mathcal{Z} = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{D}\hat{u} \\ \hat{u} \end{smallmatrix}] \mid \hat{u} \in H^2(\mathcal{U}) \}$ of \mathcal{Z} is irrelevant.

The same construction can be used to give a passive s/s realization of an arbitrary maximal nonnegative shift-invariant subspace of $K^2(\mathcal{W})$.

Thus, instead of realizing the graph of a Schur function we can use the same method to realize the graph of a **Nevanlinna** function (or relation) or of a **Potapov** function (or relation).

All the corresponding i/s/o realizations can be regarded as i/s/o representations of the s/s realization of \mathcal{Z} that one obtains by decomposing the signal space \mathcal{W} in different ways:

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.
- By taking both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} to be neutral we get an impedance passive i/s/o system.

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.
- By taking both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} to be neutral we get an impedance passive i/s/o system.
- By taking \mathcal{U} to be a Kreĭn subspace of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$ we get a transmission passive i/s/o system.

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.
- By taking both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} to be neutral we get an impedance passive i/s/o system.
- By taking \mathcal{U} to be a Kreĭn subspace of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$ we get a transmission passive i/s/o system.

This gives us

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.
- By taking both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} to be neutral we get an impedance passive i/s/o system.
- By taking \mathcal{U} to be a Kreĭn subspace of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$ we get a transmission passive i/s/o system.

This gives us

- scattering passive i/s/o realizations of given Schur function,

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.
- By taking both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} to be neutral we get an impedance passive i/s/o system.
- By taking \mathcal{U} to be a Kreĭn subspace of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$ we get a transmission passive i/s/o system.

This gives us

- scattering passive i/s/o realizations of given Schur function,
- impedance passive i/s/o realizations of a given Nevanlinna function,

Nevanlinna and Potapov Functions

- If the decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Y} \dot{+} \mathcal{U}$ is fundamental (i.e., \mathcal{U} is uniformly positive and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$), then we get a scattering passive i/s/o system.
- By taking both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} to be neutral we get an impedance passive i/s/o system.
- By taking \mathcal{U} to be a Kreĭn subspace of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}^{[\perp]}$ we get a transmission passive i/s/o system.

This gives us

- scattering passive i/s/o realizations of given Schur function,
- impedance passive i/s/o realizations of a given Nevanlinna function,
- transmission passive i/s/o realizations of a given Potapov function.

References

- [AS08a] Damir Z. Arov and Olof J. Staffans, [A Kreĭn space coordinate free version of the de Branges complementary space](#), J. Funct. Anal. (2008), in print.
- [AS08b] _____, [Two canonical passive state/signal shift realizations of passive discrete time behaviors](#), Submitted, 2008.
- [dBR66a] Louis de Branges and James Rovnyak, [Canonical models in quantum scattering theory](#), Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics (Proc. Adv. Sem. Math. Res. Center, U.S. Army, Theoret. Chem. Inst., Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1965), Wiley, New York, 1966, pp. 295–392.
- [dBR66b] _____, [Square summable power series](#), Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.