

1.2

of \mathcal{T}_X such that γ has rank $r + 1$, δ has rank $n - 1$, and $\beta = \gamma\delta$. (We can choose δ to be idempotent, and γ so as to differ from β at only one point of X .) By induction, every element of \mathcal{T}_X of defect k ($1 \leq k \leq n - 1$) is expressible as the product of an element of \mathcal{G}_X and k (idempotent) elements of defect 1.

(b) If α is an element of \mathcal{T}_X of defect 1, then every other element of \mathcal{T}_X of defect 1 can be expressed in the form $\lambda\alpha\mu$ with λ and μ in \mathcal{G}_X .

(c) If α is an element of \mathcal{T}_X of defect 1, then $\langle \mathcal{G}_X, \alpha \rangle = \mathcal{T}_X$. (Vorobev [1953b].)

1.2 LIGHT'S ASSOCIATIVITY TEST

To test a finite groupoid $S(\cdot)$ for associativity, when the operation (\cdot) is given by a Cayley multiplication table, is usually quite a tedious business. The following procedure was suggested to one of the authors by Dr. F. W. Light in 1949.

This procedure is to be carried out for each element a of the groupoid S . However, we shall show below that it suffices to carry it out for each element a of a set of generators of S .

Consider the two binary operations $(*)$ and (\circ) defined in S as follows:

$$x * y = x \cdot (a \cdot y), \quad x \circ y = (x \cdot a) \cdot y.$$

Associativity holds in $S(\cdot)$ if and only if, for each fixed element a of S , these two binary operations coincide. The idea is essentially to construct the Cayley tables for $(*)$ and (\circ) and then see if they are the same.

The $(*)$ -table is obtained from the original (\cdot) -table by replacing, for each y in S , the y column by the $a \cdot y$ column. Similarly, to make up the (\circ) -table we simply copy down in the x row the $x \cdot a$ row of the (\cdot) -table. However, we do not need to write out the (\circ) -table, since we can check directly whether the x row of the $(*)$ -table coincides with the $x \cdot a$ row of the (\cdot) -table.

For convenience in performing the test, we replace the top index line of the $(*)$ -table by the a row of the (\cdot) -table, and the left-hand index column by the a column of the (\cdot) -table. For each entry $a \cdot y$ in the a row of the (\cdot) -table tells us what column of the (\cdot) -table to copy down as the y column of the $(*)$ -table, and each entry $x \cdot a$ in the a column of the (\cdot) -table tells us which row of the (\cdot) -table should be compared with x row of the $(*)$ -table. For example, let $S(\cdot)$ be defined by the table:

\cdot	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	a	a	d	d
b	a	b	c	d	d
c	a	c	b	d	d
d	d	d	d	a	a
e	d	e	e	a	a

The set $\{c, e\}$ generates S , since $a = e \cdot e$, $b = c \cdot c$, and $d = c \cdot e$. The $(*)$ -tables (with index rows and columns modified as described above) for the elements c and e are as follows:

c	a	c	b	d	d
a	a	a	a	d	d
c	a	c	b	d	d
b	a	b	c	d	d
d	d	d	d	a	a
e	d	e	e	a	a

e	d	e	e	a	a
d	d	d	d	a	a
d	d	d	d	a	a
d	d	d	d	a	a
a	a	a	a	d	d
a	a	a	a	d	d

Thus, to form the c -table, copy the c row ($a c b d d$) from the (\cdot) -table into the upper index line, and similarly the c column into the left-hand index column. Now copy the columns of the (\cdot) -table in the order specified by the upper index line, i.e., the a column, the c column, etc. We now verify that the rows of the c -table thus formed are just those of the (\cdot) -table labelled by the left-hand index column. One may prefer to copy the rows of the (\cdot) -table as specified by the left-hand index column, and then check that the columns are correctly labelled. Since this is found to check for both the c -table and the e -table, we conclude that $S(\cdot)$ is associative.

That it suffices to carry out Light's procedure only for a set of generators of S is an immediate consequence of the fact that the set of all elements a of a groupoid S that associate with all elements of S , in the sense that $x(ay) = (xa)y$ for all x, y in S , is a subsemigroup of S . For if we assume that a and b are elements of S such that $x(ay) = (xa)y$ and $x(by) = (xb)y$ for all x, y in S , then

$$\begin{aligned} x((ab)y) &= x(a(by)) = (xa)(by) \\ &= ((xa)b)y = (x(ab))y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if a and b associate with all elements of S , so does ab .

EXERCISES FOR §1.2

1. Check for associativity:

	e	f	g	a
e	e	e	e	e
f	f	f	f	f
g	g	g	g	g
a	e	e	f	e

2. Check for associativity:

	e	f	g	a	0
e	e	a	e	a	0
f	0	f	g	0	0
g	g	f	g	f	0
a	0	a	e	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

Clifford - Preston The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I

3. The x, y in S is

Let S a
phism if
all elemen
homomom
 $S \sim S\phi$.
into S' is
then said
into itself
called an

A map
phism if
anti-end
transform
phism if

Let S
on X .

representati
 $\phi|T$ (ϕ)
that in
true if

With
 $\rho_\alpha[\lambda_\alpha]$ o
inner ri
transfo

From
if $\rho_\alpha =$
transfo

is if
other

represent
small m
tion of

transfo
A se

involves
and

the p
the p
the p