

41

## Application to tournaments

$n$  teams, every team plays the other teams once. Total no. of matches

$\binom{n}{2}$ . "round-robin tournament"

Winner: 1 point Loses: 0 points No ties.

After all the games we write down the sequence of points in decreasing order, the score sequence of the tournament.

Ex. 3.5

A - B	1 - 0
A - C	1 - 0
B - C	1 - 0
D - A	1 - 0
B - D	1 - 0
D - C	1 - 0

pts. A: 2 B: 2 C: 0 D: 2

score sequence: 2, 2, 2, 0

Not all sequences can be score sequences.

- Total no. of points 6
- No team more than 3 pts

Is 2, 2, 1, 1 possible? Is 3, 3, 0, 0?

Yes D - C 0 - 1.

No! D vs C has to have a winner.

42 Is  $(5, 4, 4, 1, 1, 0)$  possible? ( $n = 6$ )

No. Because the three last teams play  $\binom{3}{2}$  games against each other, with total no. of points = 3.

So  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m)$

where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m$  of nonnegative integers can be a score sequence only

if 
$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m = \binom{m}{2} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$a_{m-r+1} + a_{m-r+2} + \dots + a_m \geq \binom{r}{2} \quad (3.5)$$

for each  $r$ ,  $2 \leq r \leq m$ .

Note. (3.4) (3.5) necessary cond's for score sequence

Theorem 3.5. (Landau's theorem)

The non-negative seq. of integers

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m$$

is a score sequence if and only

if (3.4) and (3.5) hold.

H.G. Landau (1953)

43

Theorem 3.6. (The Harem Theorem)

Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  be non-negative integers. Suppose that men  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$  each makes a list of the women he is willing to marry. Then each  $M_i$  can be married to  $w_i$  women on his list if and only if for any subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  the lists of  $M_{i_1}, M_{i_2}, \dots, M_{i_k}$  contain in their union at least  $w_{i_1} + w_{i_2} + \dots + w_{i_k}$  names.

N.B. Each man can marry more than one woman, but each woman marries at most one man.

Pf (sufficiency only; necessity obvious)  
 Replace each  $M_i$  by  $w_i$  copies of him with identical lists. The problem is now to pair each copy of  $M_i$  with a = one woman on his list.

Consider any set of copies,  $x_1$  cop. of  $M_1$ ,  $x_2$  cop. of  $M_2$  and so on,  $x_i \leq w_i$  for each  $i$ . Use Marriage Theorem!

#### 44 Proof of Landau's Theorem:

Suppose  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  satisfying (3.4) and (3.5) is given. For  $i \leq n$  introduce a man  $M_i$  and introduce  $L_{ij}$ , ladies, where  $L_{ij}$  is on the lists of  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  only,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .

Thus  $M_1$  has list  $L_{12}, L_{13}, \dots, L_{1n}$   
 $M_2$   $L_{12}, L_{23}, \dots, L_{2n}$   
 $\vdots$   
 $M_n$   $L_{1n}, L_{2n}, \dots, L_{n-1,n}$

No. of such  $L_{ij}$  is  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ .

Interpretation:  $M_i$  team,  $L_{ij}$  match  $M_i - M_j$ .

Any  $k$  men have  $(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-k)$  names on their combined list.

Pairing off  $M_i$  with  $L_{ij}$  is interpreted as  $M_i$  winning the game with  $M_j$ . And vice versa.

Of  $M_i$  marries  $a_i$  ladies this means that  $M_i$  wins  $a_i$  matches. Which is the same thing as  $M_i$  scoring  $a_i$  points.

45 Constructing a harem with  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m$  ladies in the harem of  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m$ , respectively, is equivalent to constructing a tournament with score sequence  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m$ .

Check the Harem theorem:

The pairing off is possible if and only if the lists of  $M_{i_1}, \dots, M_{i_r}$  between them contains  $\geq a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_r}$  names.

We saw that the lists contain between them exactly

$$m-1 + m-2 + \dots + m-r$$

names. This sum is

$$m \cdot r - \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$$

largest value ( $a_i$ 's ordered!) is obtained for

$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_r$ . This should be

$\leq m r - \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ . This is equivalent to

the rest having sum  $\geq \binom{m}{2} - m r + \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$

↑ total no. of  
a's = total no. of  $L_{ij}$ 's

$$= \binom{m-r}{2}$$

46 Calling  $n-k$   $k$  and noticing that the smallest sum is

$$a_{n-k+1} + a_{n-k+2} + \dots + a_n$$

we see that the requirement reads

$$a_{n-k+1} + a_{n-k+2} + \dots + a_n \geq \binom{k}{2}$$

i.e. exactly (3.5).

Exercise rel 3.2, PB 4

$$S = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_m = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$$

$$|S| = mn, |A_i| = m, |B_j| = m.$$

Consider the sets  $C_i$

$$C_i = \text{set of } j\text{'s such that } B_j \text{ intersects } A_i.$$

Show that the sets  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  have distinct representatives. Deduce that the  $B$ 's can be relabelled so that

$$A_i \cap B_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$C_{i_1} \cup C_{i_2} = \text{set of } j\text{'s such that } B_j \text{ intersects } A_{i_1} \text{ or } A_{i_2}$$

Need at least 2  $B_j$ 's to cover  $A_{i_1}$  and  $A_{i_2}$  because  $|B_j| = |A_{i_1}| = |A_{i_2}| = m.$

47  $C_i$  is such that  $\bigcup_{j \in C_i} B_j \supset A_i$

"minimal set of  $B_j$ 's covering  $A_i$ "

Hence  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  has at  $k$  elements.

(Marriage theorem  $\Rightarrow$ ) Hall theorem gives the desired conclusion.

Use a SDR. If  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m)$  is such then  $B_{j_1} \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset, \dots, B_{j_m} \cap A_m \neq \emptyset$ .

Relabel:  $j_1$  is called 1  
 $j_2$  2  
 $\vdots$   
 $j_m$   $m$ .

Pb 7. Let  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m$  be a score seq. Show that

$$\frac{1}{2}(m-k) \leq a_k \leq \frac{1}{2}(2m-k-1)$$

Sol. :  $a_k + a_{k+1} + \dots + a_m \geq \binom{m-k+1}{2}$

on the other hand

$$(m-k+1)a_k \geq a_k + a_{k+1} + \dots + a_m$$

↑  
ordered

$$(m-k+1)a_k \geq \frac{(m-k+1)(m-k)}{2}$$